

Escaping non-tangential approach

Ryan Tully-Doyle
University of New Haven

preliminary report
joint with J. E. Pascoe and M. Seargent

IWOTA, ECNU
July 23, 2018

Boundary behavior

Let D and Ω be proper open subsets of \mathbb{C} , and ∂D and $\partial\Omega$ denote their respective topological boundaries. There are a number of classically important families of analytic functions $\varphi : D \rightarrow \Omega$ that in addition take the boundary ∂D to the boundary $\partial\Omega$ where defined.

- 1 Schur functions: $D = \Omega = \mathbb{D}$,
- 2 Herglotz functions: $D = \mathbb{D}$, $\Omega = \{z : \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$,
- 3 Nevanlinna functions: $D = \Omega = \{\operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$.
- 4 Pick functions $D = \Omega = \{z : \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$.

Let \mathcal{F} denote a family of analytic functions $f : D \rightarrow \Omega$. While f is analytic on D , there is no reason to presume that f is necessarily reasonably behaved on ∂D .

We can pose the following question:

Question

Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and that $\tau \in \partial D$. Is f “nice” near τ ?

What sorts of substitutions for “nice” are typical?

- 1 Does f have a limit as $z \rightarrow \tau$?
- 2 Does f have a derivative as $z \rightarrow \tau$?
- 3 Does f have an expansion to order n at τ ?

Generally, we call these sorts of conditions boundary regularity.

The Julia quotient $J_f^\tau(z)$ is a function that measures the growth of a function f as the input values z tend to a boundary value $\tau \in \partial D$:

$$J_f^\tau(z) = \frac{\text{dist}(f(z), \partial\Omega)}{\text{dist}(z, \tau)}.$$

The Julia quotient is the jumping off point for a theory that understands boundary regularity in terms of restrictions on growth.

A **Stolz region** is a sector of a circle with the point touching the boundary at a point $\tau \in \partial D$.

A **Stolz region** is a sector of a circle with the point touching the boundary at a point $\tau \in \partial D$.

More generally, a Stolz region in a domain D at a point $\tau \in \partial D$ with aperture M , denoted $S_{\tau, M}$, to be the set

$$S_{\tau, M} = \{z \in D \mid \text{dist}(z, \partial D) \geq M \text{dist}(z, \tau)\}.$$

A **Stolz region** is a sector of a circle with the point touching the boundary at a point $\tau \in \partial D$.

More generally, a Stolz region in a domain D at a point $\tau \in \partial D$ with aperture M , denoted $S_{\tau, M}$, to be the set

$$S_{\tau, M} = \{z \in D \mid \text{dist}(z, \partial D) \geq M \text{dist}(z, \tau)\}.$$

Classical J-C Theorem on \mathbb{D}

Suppose that $D = \Omega = \mathbb{D}$ (that is, f is a Schur function).

Theorem (Julia-Carathéodory)

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ be an analytic function. Let τ be a point in $\mathbb{T} = \partial\mathbb{D}$.

There exist $\omega, \eta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ so that

$$\varphi = \omega + \eta(t - \tau) + o(|t - \tau|)$$

if and only if the Julia quotient J_f^τ is bounded on some Stolz region $S_{\tau, M}$.

The J-C question

One can formulate for a more general notion of regularity what might be called the Julia-Carathódory question:

Question

Is regularity of f at $\tau \in \partial D$ equivalent to boundedness of some growth condition of f at τ ?

This question has been answered in the affirmative in quite a number of more general settings. (More variables, operator valued functions, noncommuting variables, different domains, ...)

We move from the disk \mathbb{D} to the upper halfplane Π : that is, from the Schur functions of Julia-Carathéodory to the class of Pick functions $f : \Pi \rightarrow \overline{\Pi}$.

We move from the disk \mathbb{D} to the upper halfplane Π : that is, from the Schur functions of Julia-Carathéodory to the class of Pick functions $f : \Pi \rightarrow \overline{\Pi}$.

Why?

We move from the disk \mathbb{D} to the upper halfplane Π : that is, from the Schur functions of Julia-Carathéodory to the class of Pick functions $f : \Pi \rightarrow \overline{\Pi}$.

Why? **A totally sweet representation theorem!**

We move from the disk \mathbb{D} to the upper halfplane Π : that is, from the Schur functions of Julia-Carathéodory to the class of Pick functions $f : \Pi \rightarrow \overline{\Pi}$.

Why? **A totally sweet representation theorem!**

Theorem (Nevanlinna Representation)

Let $f : \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The function f is analytic and maps Π to $\overline{\Pi}$ if and only if there exist $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \geq 0$ and a finite positive Borel measure μ on \mathbb{R} such that

$$f(z) = a + bz + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1 + tz}{t - z} d\mu(t) \quad (0.1)$$

for all $z \in \Pi$.

There is a Corollary that relates boundary regularity to properties of the measure μ .

Corollary

An analytic function $f : \Pi \rightarrow \bar{\Pi}$ with Nevanlinna representation

$$f(z) = a + bz + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1 + tz}{t - z} d\mu(t)$$

is regular to order $2n - 1$ at τ if and only if $\frac{1}{(t - \tau)^{2n}}$ is integrable with respect to μ .

The big idea

Let $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ be a monotone increasing function such that $\gamma(t)$ is $O(t^2)$.

$f : \Pi \rightarrow \bar{\Pi}$ is γ -**regular** whenever there exists a $C > 0$ such that $\frac{1}{\gamma(C|t|)}$ is integrable with respect to the μ .

The big idea

Let $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ be a monotone increasing function such that $\gamma(t)$ is $O(t^2)$.

$f : \Pi \rightarrow \overline{\Pi}$ is γ -**regular** whenever there exists a $C > 0$ such that $\frac{1}{\gamma(C|t|)}$ is integrable with respect to the μ .

For example, when $\gamma(t) = (t - \tau)^{2n}$, we recover the previous corollary: f is γ -regular iff f is regular to order $2n - 1$.

An illustrative example

Suppose that $\gamma(t) = e^{-1/t}$.

An illustrative example

Suppose that $\gamma(t) = e^{-1/t}$.

It turns out that γ -regularity in this case implies **analytic determinacy** of the measure μ : that is the values of the moments of μ uniquely determine the measure.

λ -Stolz regions

There is a tradeoff - because we want to look at more delicate regularity than linear approximation, we need to use regions that are (controllably) tangential at τ .

λ -Stolz regions

There is a tradeoff - because we want to look at more delicate regularity than linear approximation, we need to use regions that are (controllably) tangential at τ .

In a λ -**Stolz region**, the rays at τ in a standard Stolz region are replaced by a curve λ .

λ -Stolz regions

There is a tradeoff - because we want to look at more delicate regularity than linear approximation, we need to use regions that are (controllably) tangential at τ .

In a λ -**Stolz region**, the rays at τ in a standard Stolz region are replaced by a curve λ .

If $\lambda(t) = \frac{e^{-1/t}}{t}$, then the associated λ -Stolz region at $\tau = 0$ looks like: [picture here](#)

Amortized Julia quotient

Let S_0^λ be a λ -Stolz region. Let $d > 0$, and let C_d denote the set of points in Π that are distance $\lambda(d)$ from the boundary \mathbb{R} .

The **amortized Julia quotient of f with respect to λ at τ , denoted $AJ_{\varphi,\lambda}^\tau(d)$** , is defined as

$$AJ_{f,\lambda}^\tau(d) = \frac{1}{|C_d|} \int_{C_d} J_f^\tau(z) d|z|, \quad (0.2)$$

where $|C_d|$ denotes arclength.

A representative γ J-C theorem

Theorem (Pascoe, Seargent, T.D.)

Let f be an analytic function from $\Pi \rightarrow \overline{\Pi}$ and suppose that $\gamma(t) = e^{-1/t}$ and that $\lambda(t) = \frac{e^{-1/t}}{t}$.

f is γ -regular

if and only if $AJ_f^0(z)$ is bounded on $S_0^{\lambda(Ct)}$ for some $C > 0$

A representative γ J-C theorem

Theorem (Pascoe, Seargent, T.D.)

Let f be an analytic function from $\Pi \rightarrow \overline{\Pi}$ and suppose that $\gamma(t) = e^{-1/t}$ and that $\lambda(t) = \frac{e^{-1/t}}{t}$.

f is γ -regular

if and only if $AJ_f^0(z)$ is bounded on $S_0^{\lambda(Ct)}$ for some $C > 0$

if and only if $J_f^0(z)$ is bounded on $S_0^{\lambda(Ct)}$ for some $C > 0$.

Extensions and open questions

- 1 γ -regularity recovers Bolotnikov-Kheifits higher order boundary differentiability.
- 2 We only have partial results on the disk: in the case that we can use J instead of AJ , can Cayley transform back.
- 3 Moment determinacy in free probability?
- 4 Two variables?